Neuronal-Derived Nitric Oxide and Somatodendritically Released Vasopressin Regulate Neurovascular Coupling in the Rat Hypothalamic Supraoptic Nucleus
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The classical model of neurovascular coupling (NVC) implies that activity-dependent axonal glutamate release at synapses evokes the production and release of vasoactive signals from both neurons and astrocytes, which dilate arterioles, increasing in turn cerebral blood flow (CBF) to areas with increased metabolic needs. However, whether this model is applicable to brain areas that also use less conventional neurotransmitters, such as neuropeptides, is currently unknown. To this end, we studied NVC in the rat hypothalamic magnocellular neurosecretory system (MNS) of the supraoptic nucleus (SON), in which dendritic release of neuropeptides, including vasopressin (VP), constitutes a key signaling modality influencing neuronal and network activity. Using a multidisciplinary approach, we investigated vasopressin-mediated vascular responses in SON arterioles of hypothalamic brain slices of Wistar or VP-eGFP Wistar rats. Bath-applied VP significantly constricted SON arterioles ($\Delta -41 \pm 7\%$) via activation of the V1a receptor subtype. Vasoconstrictions were also observed in response to single VP neuronal stimulation ($\Delta -18 \pm 2\%$), an effect prevented by V1a receptor blockade (V2255), supporting local dendritic VP release as the key signal mediating activity-dependent vasoconstrictions. Conversely, osmotically driven magnocellular neurosecretory neuronal population activity leads to a predominant nitric oxide-mediated vasodilation ($\Delta 19 \pm 2\%$). Activity-dependent vasodilations were followed by a VP-mediated vasoconstriction, which acted to limit the magnitude of the vasodilation and served to reset vascular tone following activity-dependent vasodilation. Together, our results unveiled a unique and complex form of NVC in the MNS, supporting a competitive balance between nitric oxide and activity-dependent dendritic released VP, in the generation of proper NVC responses.

Key words: arteriole; dendrite; hypothalamus; neurovascular; nitric oxide; vasopressin

Introduction

Neurovascular coupling (NVC) is a critical process that ensures efficient oxygen and nutrient delivery to areas of increased metabolic demands (Attwell et al., 2010). The classical and widely accepted model of NVC implies that activity-dependent axonal glutamate release at synapses evokes the production and release of vasoactive signals from both neurons and astrocytes, which dilate arterioles, increasing in turn cerebral blood flow (CBF). NVC coupling has been largely explored in the cortex (Zonta et al., 2003; Filosa et al., 2004) and hippocampus (Lovick et al., 1999), regions in which neuronal and network activity is largely driven by fast-acting afferent glutamate excitatory inputs. In the hypothalamic magnocellular neurosecretory system (MNS), in the supraoptic (SON) and paraventricular (PVN) nuclei, however, concomitantly with glutamatergic stimulation, slow-acting and far-diffusing neuropeptides constitute critical signals regulating neuronal/network activity. Thus, whether the same NVC signaling modality applies to these distinct hypothalamic nuclei is unknown. In addition to the release of vasopressin (VP) and oxytocin (OT) from axonal terminals in the posterior pituitary (Armstrong, 1995), magnocellular neurosecretory neurons (MNNs) release these peptides from their somata and dendrites in an activity-dependent manner (Brown and Bourque, 2004; Ludwig and Leng, 2006; Son et al., 2013). Dendritic peptide release influences the degree/efficacy of afferent inputs (Kombian et al., 1997), individual neuronal firing (Gouzé et al., 1998), and overall population (Ludwig and Leng, 1997) and interpopulation activity (Son et al., 2013). Whether dendritic release of peptides constitutes an efficient feedback signaling modality regulating local CBF and NVC is unknown.

Nitric oxide (NO) stands as an important vasodilator signal in various brain regions, including cerebellum (Rancillac et al., 2006), hippocampus (Lourenço et al., 2014), and cortex (Caulk et al., 2004; Kitaura et al., 2007). Mainly derived from neuronal NO synthase (nNOS), NO is abundantly produced in the SON (Arévalo et al., 1992; Calka and Block, 1993; Nylen et al., 2001; Stern and Zhang, 2005) and its availability enhanced in response to neuronal firing. However, the contribution of this NO to the regulation of cerebral blood flow (CBF) and neurovascular coupling (NVC) in the SON remains to be established.
to hyperosmotic stimulation (Kadowaki et al., 1994). However, whether NO contributes to NVC-mediated vascular responses in the SON is not known. We propose the MNS as an ideal model to address the general question of whether distinct neuronal phenotypes, signaling modalities, and particular microenvironments surrounding cerebral microvessels are important determinants in NVC, specifically, whether dendritic release of neuropeptides serves as an efficient signaling modality regulating NVC.

Using a multidisciplinary approach in brain slices from the transgenic eGFP-VP rat (Ueta et al., 2005), we assessed activity-dependent intraparenchymal SON vascular responses following single VP/OT neuronal activation or osmotically driven population activation. We found that differently from conventional NVC responses, single VP neuronal stimulation evoked SON parenchymal arteriole vasoconstriction, a response mediated by dendritic release of VP. Conversely, osmotically driven MNN population responses led to a predominant NO-mediated vasodilation, in which a delayed VP dendritic release served to reset vascular tone following activity-dependent vascular response. Together, our results unveiled a multifaceted form of NVC in the MNS, supporting a competitive balance between NO and dendritic released VP in the generation of proper NVC responses.

Materials and Methods

Experimental setup. Experiments were conducted using the Camware high-performance Sencam attached to a Zeiss microscope (Carl Zeiss) and equipped with a mercury arc lamp (AttoArc 2 HBO 100 W). The microscope chamber was continuously perfused with artificial CSF (aCSF) using a peristaltic pump (Miniplus 3, Gilson) at a rate of 2–3 ml/min. Chamber temperature was maintained at 33°C by a single line solution heater (SH-28B, Warner Instruments) connected to a DC power supply (1735A, BK Precision). Patch pipettes were pulled (P-97 puller, Sutter Instruments) to resistances between 4 and 6 MΩ. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were obtained using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments) and digidata 1440A (Axon Instruments).

Slice preparation. All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Georgia Regents University. Rats were housed in a room maintained at 20°C–22°C with a 12 h:12 h light–dark cycle and ad libitum access to food and water. Coronal hypothalamic brain slices were prepared as a stock in DMSO and subsequently added to the aCSF. Sections were followed by 30–60 min incubation in aCSF containing zero Ca-2+ to maximally dilate the arteriole. Vascular smooth muscle cell calcium imaging. SON slices were incubated with the calcium indicator Fluo-4AM (Invitrogen, F-14201, 40 μM) and 2.5 μl 20% pluronic acid (Invitrogen, P3000MP) in a 95% O2/5% CO2 oxygenated chamber for 100 min, after which slices were moved to equilibrated aCSF chambers and maintained at room temperature for a short period until imaging. Images were acquired at a rate of 4 images/s using a 60X objective (Nikon). Calcium imaging was analyzed as previously described (Filosa et al., 2004) using SparkAN software (Dr. Adrian Bonev, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT). Fluorescence intensity was determined within 20 × 20 pixel squares placed over vascular smooth muscle cell (VSMC) with baseline fluorescence (F0) determined from 20 image frames showing no activity. Fractional fluorescence (F/F0) was calculated, and peaks were automatically detected from oscillations crossing a set threshold value (>0.15 F/F0) and summarized as Ca2+ oscillations peak frequency (Hz).

Immunofluorescence. Immunofluorescence was used to show the relationship between VP neurons and arterioles. VP neurons were stained by adding Alexa-555 (100 μM) to the internal recording solution. At the end of the experiment, the SON slice was incubated for 15–30 min in aCSF containing Alexa-633 (2 μM), shown to stain arterioles (Blanco et al., 2012). Sections were then fixed in 4% PFA PBS (4% PFD) for 72 h at 4°C. Following fixation, sections were incubated in 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.04% NaN3, and 10% normal horse serum for 1 h. For immunofluorescence reactions, sections were incubated overnight in polyclonal guinea pig anti-A1-VP (1:200,000, Bachem). Reactions with primary antibodies were followed by a 1 h incubation with secondary antibodies (donkey anti-guinea pig-Cy3 labeled, 1:250 dilution, in PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 0.04% NaN3, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). Mounted slices were imaged using a LSM510 confocal microscope (Zeiss Microimaging).

Drugs. The thromboxane A2 receptor agonist 9,11-dideoxy-11α,9α-epoxymethanoprostaglandin F2α (U-46619) (Cayman Chemical) was prepared as a stock in DMSO and subsequently added to the aCSF. DMSO content in the experimental solutions was <0.1%. Alexa-555 and Alexa-633 were obtained from Invitrogen. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma.

Data analysis. Arteriolar diameter data (IR-DIC) was analyzed using SparkAN (created by Dr. Adrian D. Bonev, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT). Changes in internal diameter throughout the experiment were determined from the distance between two set point values across the arteriole. Initial diameter was determined using the first ~2 min of sampling, during which time arterioles lack vascular tone. Steady-state vascular tone is expressed as the percentage diameter value from the initial diameter before U46619 preconstricted arterioles. Vascular tone defined as diameter (%) and the magnitude of the vascular response (Δ diameter %) were calculated as previously described (Blanco et al.,...
2008). Namely, diameter (%) = 100% × (initial diameter − steady-state diameter) induced by U46619/initial diameter and Δ diameter (%) = 100% × (averaged peak diameter during response − steady-state diameter)/steady-state diameter. Neuronal firing activity was analyzed using either Clampfit 10.2 or Mini Analysis Program version 6.0.3 (Synaptosoft). Summary data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Differences between two means from the same arteriole were tested using paired Student’s t test. Differences between two means from different arterioles were tested using unpaired Student’s t test. Differences above two means from the same arteriole or neuron were determined using two-tailed or repeated-measures one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test, as indicated. Data comparison shown in Figure 9A was done using repeated measures two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test. A 95% (p < 0.05) confidence interval was used to test statistical significance.

Results

VP constricts SON arterioles via V1a receptor activation

As a first step to determine the effect of VP on SON parenchymal arterioles, their response to bath-applied VP was monitored. To compensate for the lack of myogenic (pressure-induced) tone, as arterioles in brain slices are not perfused (Filosa et al., 2004), SON arterioles were first preconstricted with the thromboxane agonist U46619 (150 nM) to a steady-state vascular tone of −29 ± 4% (n = 6), comparable to that previously reported (Blanco et al., 2008) (Fig. 1A–D). In the presence of U46619, bath-applied VP (1 μM) transiently and reversibly constricted SON arterioles by Δ = 41 ± 7% (n = 6) from steady-state tone (Fig. 1C,D). Onset vasoconstriction occurred 0.5 ± 0.1 min from the time VP reached the tissue. As a positive control, at the end of some experiments, the reactivity of the arteriole was assessed by measuring its response to high pH aCSF, pH 7.8. As previously reported in cerebral arterioles (Apkon and Boron, 1995) and indicative of a healthy arteriole, alkalization induced marked vasoconstrictions (Δ = 50 ± 9%, n = 4; Fig. 1C,D) with an onset of 0.6 ± 0.3 min from the time the high pH aCSF reached the tissue. VP-mediated vasoconstrictions were blocked by the selective V1a receptor antagonist, V2255 (1 μM; Figure 1E,F). V2255, however, did not affect per se baseline vascular tone. On the other hand, high pH still induced a significant vasoconstriction of the same arteriole (Δ = 47 ± 11%, n = 5; Figure 1E,F). Finally, to further verify the VP effect on SON arterioles, we measured VSMC Ca²⁺ changes in response to bath-applied VP (1 μM). As shown in Figure 1G, H, VP significantly increased VSMC Ca²⁺ oscillation frequency (0.055 ± 0.024 Hz to 0.148 ± 0.013 Hz). Together, these data support the ability of VP to increase Ca²⁺ in VSMC and constrict SON intraparenchymal arterioles via V1a receptor activation.

Figure 1. VP induced SON parenchymal arteriole constriction via V1a receptor activation. A, Representative DIC image of a parenchymal arteriole in the SON. Arrow indicates arteriole wall. Asterisk indicates magnocellular neurons. B, Representative diameter trace for U46619-induced constriction. C, Representative diameter trace for VP- and high pH-induced parenchymal arteriole constriction. D, Summary data of the diameter (%) changes in response to U46619 (steady-state), VP, and normal versus high pH (7.8). E, Representative diameter trace for VP- and high pH-induced parenchymal arteriole responses in the presence of the V1a receptor antagonist, V2255. F, Summary data of the diameter (%) changes in response to U46619 (steady-state), VP + V2255, and high pH + V2255 (7.8). G, Representative image (left) and traces (right) for VSMC Ca²⁺ activity in response to bath-applied VP. H, Summary data of VSMC Ca²⁺ oscillation frequency in the SON. Data are mean ± SEM. Values were compared using repeated-measures one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test; paired t test was used for pH data as well as frequency data comparison. *p < 0.05. ***p < 0.001.

Single vasopressinergic neuronal activation preferentially constricts SON arterioles

Two main peptidergic neuronal population subtypes reside in the SON (i.e., VP and OT neurons). Thus, we determined whether the selective activation of a single VP neuron was sufficient to evoke SON arteriole responses. Using the VP-eGFP transgenic rat (Ueta et al., 2005), we obtained whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from identified VP neurons. Recorded neurons had a mean resting membrane potential of −59.46 ± 1.02 mV, input resistance 491.1 ± 27.19 MΩ, and capacitance of 22.60 ± 1.26 pF (n = 44). For further characterization of the recorded neuron, Alexa-555 (100 μM) was added to the internal recording solution (Figure 2A). To examine the effect of single VP neuronal activation on arteriolar diameter changes, a burst of action potentials (2–2.5 min duration) was evoked in the patched neuron, whereas simul-
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Figure 2. Single VP neuronal stimulation preferentially constricts SON arterioles. A, Left, schematic diagram of an SON brain slice showing the relative position of the stimulated neuron and recording pipette to the monitored arteriole. Top row, DIC image (left) of a GFP-positive VP neuron (eGFP) (green, middle) also loaded with Alexa-555 (red, far right). Bottom row, Confocal image following slice fixation showing GFP-positive VP neurons (left), the recorded neuron loaded with Alexa-555 (middle), and the merged image (right). B, Representative traces showing simultaneous action potential firing in the stimulated VP neuron (top) and diameter changes in the SON arteriole in response to a 2 min depolarization pulse (arteriole preconstricted with U46619), showing a vasoconstriction response. Inset, Example of a biphasic vascular response to single VP neuronal stimulation. C, Summary data of diameter changes (%) in response to bath-applied U46619 (steady-state) and single VP-neuronal stimulation. D, Schematic diagram of the cannulated arteriole and patched VP neuron in the SON slice. E, Representative simultaneous action potential and diameter traces of the stimulated VP neuron (top) and pressurized and perfused SON arteriole in response to a 2 min depolarization pulse. Data are mean ± SEM. Repeated-measures one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test was used for data comparison. ***p < 0.001.


taneous vascular responses were measured in the nearby preconstricted arteriole. Single VP neuronal stimulation primarily evoked arteriole vasoconstriction (Δ–18 ± 3% from steady-state tone, n = 12 of 13; Figure 2B, C). Interestingly, in 3 of 13 vessels, a transient vasodilation preceded the vasoconstriction (Fig. 2B, inset), whereas in one case, the arteriole responded only with a vasodilatation. Overall, vasodilatory responses were characterized by a Δ15 ± 5% (n = 4) increase in diameter, preceding significantly the evoked vasoconstrictions (0.4 ± 0.2 min, n = 4 vs 2.9 ± 0.6 min, n = 12, p = 0.029).

Although the use of U46619 to preconstrict arterioles to near physiological levels has been widely used (Filosoa et al., 2006; Meta and Newman, 2006; Blanco et al., 2008), under these conditions, arterioles lack luminal pressure and flow and, thus, do not develop myogenic tone. Thus, to validate vascular responses under more physiological conditions, experiments were repeated in perfused/pressurized SON arterioles, a technique we recently implemented for the first time to the slice preparation (Kim and Filosa, 2012) (Fig. 2D). Although applying this approach in conjunction with recordings from a nearby neuron is technically challenging, we were able nonetheless to effi-


ciently assess the consistency of our results in a subset of experiments. Following cannulation and pressurization, arterioles exhibited Δ–22 ± 6% (n = 3) myogenic tone determined from the maximum diameter measured in zero Ca²⁺ and papavarine (see Materials and Methods) (Cipolla et al., 2009). Under these conditions, and similar to what we observed with U46619, single VP neuronal stimulation induced a Δ–14 ± 2% constriction from myogenic tone (n = 3). Consistent with observations in arterioles preconstricted with U46619, in one-third of arterioles, a transient dilation (Δ6%) preceded the vasoconstriction (Δ–11%) (Fig. 2E). The onset for the vasodilatory response was 0.1 min, whereas the mean onset for vasoconstrictions was 3.2 ± 0.4 min (n = 3).

Bath-applied OT and single OT neuronal stimulation fail to induce vascular effects in the SON

As with VP, OT has been characterized to have vasoactive effects inducing vasoconstriction via V1aR activation or vasodilation via the production of endothelial-derived NO (Maigaard et al., 1986; Suzuki et al., 1992; Oyama et al., 1993; Chen et al., 1999; Loichot et al., 2001). To determine whether in the SON OT evoked a vascular response as that observed with VP, we measured SON arteriole responses to bath-applied OT (1 µM). As shown in Figure 3, neither bath-applied OT (Δ–2 ± 8%, n = 5; Fig. 3A,B) nor single OT neuronal stimulation (Δ1 ± 5%, n = 6; Fig. 3C,D) evoked a vascular effect on SON arterioles, suggesting that OT is not a key signal in regulating NVC in the SON.

NO and VP were released in response to single VP neuronal stimulation

The presence of both activity-dependent vasoconstriction and vasodilation following single VP neuronal stimulation (Fig. 2B, inset) is suggestive of the corelease of at least two opposing vasoactive signals. As with VP, the presence of V2255 aggregated vasoconstrictor responses evoked by single VP neuronal stimulation, notably unmasking, in 7 of 10 arterioles, activity-dependent vasodilations (Δ18 ± 7% vasodilation from steady-state tone, n = 10; Figure 4A,B). All arterioles tested, including those that did not show a VP-mediated vascular response (n = 3), constricted to high pH aCSF (Δ–44 ± 8%, n = 7). For the 7 of 10
arterioles that showed a dilatory response in the presence of V2255, the onset occurred at 0.3 ± 0.2 min (n = 7) from the start of the depolarizing stimulus. Activity-dependent evoked vasodilatory responses in the presence of the V1aR blocker were blocked by the combined use of an NO synthase blocker L-NAME (100 μM) and the NO scavenger cPTIO (100 μM), resulting thus in the lack of any significant vascular response (Δ = 3 ± 6%, n = 7) (Fig. 4C,D). On the other hand, arterioles tested with low pH (6.8) aCSF still responded with a vasodilation (Δ = 12%, n = 6; Figure 4C,D). Together, our data are consistent with the notion that increasing the firing discharge of VP neurons leads to the local corelease of opposing vasoactive signals, with a predominant vasoconstrictor response mediated by somatodendritic release of the neuropeptide VP and a weaker, and thus masked, vasodilatory response mediated by local release of NO.

Vascular responses are independent of basal tone, neuronal firing rate, or the topographical interrelationship between VP neurons and the nearby SON arteriole

To determine whether the level of basal vascular tone was a critical factor impacting the magnitude and/or polarity of the vascular response (i.e., vasodilatation vs vasoconstriction), as we previously showed in the cortex (Blanco et al., 2008), we plotted the percentage change in vasodilator diameter (Δ diameter (%)) evoked by single VP neuronal depolarization as a function of basal steady-state vascular tone (Figure 5A). In contrast to our previous observations in the cortex (Blanco et al., 2008), we failed to observe a significant correlation between these two parameters, both for the predominant vasoconstriction (r² = 0.082, n = 13) and vasodilatory (r² = 0.087, n = 13) responses observed. Moreover, mean basal steady-state tone was not significantly different between arterioles showing vasoconstriction and vasodilatory responses (39 ± 3%, n = 13 vs 42 ± 3%, n = 13, respectively).

We then determined whether the vascular response was dependent on the degree of evoked neuronal firing activity. A plot of percentage changes in diameter (Δ diameter (%)) as a function of evoked neuronal firing frequency failed to unveil a correlation between the vascular response (%) and the evoked neuronal firing frequency in the case of vasoconstrictions (r² = 0.0008, n = 13) or vasodilations (r² = 0.086,
Finally, we examined the topographical interrelationship between the responsive SON arteriole and the stimulated VP neuron. The distance from the recorded VP neuronal somata and the SON arteriole was measured under DIC. In a subset of experiments, to better illustrate the interrelationship between the patched neuron and the recorded arteriole, slices were incubated in Alexa-633 (2 μM), a specific arteriole wall marker (Shen et al., 2012). Figure 5C shows an example of an Alexa-633-stained SON arteriole (shown in blue), VP neurons expressing GFP (shown in green), and the recorded VP neuron labeled with Alexa-555 (shown in red). The mean distance from the somata of the patched neuron to the monitored arteriole was 43.19 ± 10.26 μm (n = 23).

As shown in Figure 5D, the overall mean distance from neuronal somata to the vessel was not significantly different between evoked vasoconstrictions (40.13 ± 16.16 μm, n = 13) and vasodilations (39.34 ± 9.86 μm, n = 13). Moreover, there was no correlation between the magnitude/polarity of the vascular response and the soma-vessel distance (constriction: \( r^2 = 0.003, n = 13 \); dilation: \( r^2 = 0.044, n = 13 \)). Together, these results support the notion that the polarity of the vascular response is not dependent on the degree of firing activity, basal vascular tone, or neuron-to-vessel distance, but rather, that these opposing responses are mediated by two distinct signaling mechanisms and their relative balance.

**An acute osmotic stimulus evokes VP/NO-mediated vascular responses in the SON**

Our studies indicate that evoked firing activity in VP neurons by direct current injection resulted in a predominantly VP-mediated vasoconstrictive response and that, when this was blocked, an NO-mediated vasodilatory response was unmasked. To study whether a similar vascular phenomenon was observed during a “population” rather than a unitary neuronal response, we evaluated SON neurovascular responses to a hyperosmotic challenge, one of the classical physiological challenges that increase the activity of the VP neuronal population (Leng, 1980; Bourque, 1989; MacGregor and Leng, 2013). We recorded vascular tone changes in response to an acute hyperosmotic stimulus to the entire slice (Δ40 mOsm, 4 min). In a few cases (4 of 10), simultaneous VP neuronal firing activity was also recorded. As previously reported (Leng, 1980), VP neuronal firing activity increased in response to the hyperosmotic stimulus (from 5.5 ± 1.6 to 11.0 ± 3.4 Hz, \( n = 13 \); Figure 5B). Moreover, the mean degree of evoked neuronal activity was not significantly different between arterioles showing vasoconstriction or vasodilatory responses (7.4 ± 0.7 Hz, \( n = 13 \) vs 7.6 ± 0.9 Hz, \( n = 13 \)).
Δ107 ± 60% from baseline, \( n = 4 \)). In contrast to observations following single VP neuronal stimulation, we found that arterioles dilated by Δ19 ± 2% \( (n = 10) \) from steady-state tone with peak responses occurring 3.8 ± 0.3 min from the onset of the stimulus (Figure 6A,B). Although on average, all arterioles recovered their diameter back to baseline values \( (n = 10) \), see washout diameter; Fig. 6B), in 5 of these arterioles and as shown in the representative trace, a rebound constriction \( (Δ - 14 ± 4%) \) was observed (Fig. 6A). To confirm that osmotically driven vascular responses were dependent on activity-mediated, neurally derived signals, the hyperosmotic stimulation was repeated while blocking action potential firing (TTX, 0.5 μM). Under these conditions, hyperosmotic stimulation failed to induce a vascular response \( (Δ2 ± 3%) \) from steady-state tone, \( n = 7 \); Fig. 6C,D; see Fig. 9).

To determine whether the osmotically evoked vasodilation involved NO signaling, experiments were repeated in the presence of l-NAME (100 μM) and cPTIO (100 μM). In this condition, hyperosmotic stimulation still evoked a significant increase in VP neuronal firing activity (from 5.9 ± 0.8 to 12.4 ± 2.3 Hz, Δ116 ± 39%, from baseline, \( n = 5 \)). Likewise, in the presence of NOS blockade, hyperosmotic stimulation continued to induce a smaller, yet significant, vasodilatory response \( (Δ9 ± 2%) \) from steady-state tone, \( n = 8 \); Fig. 7A,B). Importantly, the presence of NOS blockers resulted in a pronounced peak rebound constriction \( (Δ - 10 ± 4%) \), an effect observed in all vessels studied (Fig. 7A,B; see 9A). Previous studies have demonstrated hyperosmotic stimulation-induced NO release from a neuronal source (Kadowaki et al., 1994; da Silva et al., 2013). Thus, to gain further information on the potential source of NO, experiments were repeated in the presence of the specific nNOS blocker 7-NI. Bath-applied 7-NI alone constricted \( (-46 ± 5%, \ n = 8 \); Fig. 7C,D) SON arterioles, suggesting the presence of tonically released NO from neurons. Moreover, and consistent with the notion that neuronal NO contributes to hyperosmotic stimulation-induced dilations, the presence of 7-NI blunted the hyperosmotic stimulation-evoked vascular response \( (Δ11 ± 5%) \, \ n = 8 \), Fig. 7C,D). Together, these results support the notion that osmotic stimulation mediates a vasodilation mostly via nNOS-derived NO and that a concomitant release of VP evokes a vasocostriction that is observed as a late rebound, which helps in the reestablishment of steady-state tone following osmotically mediated vasodilations. Rebound constriction was not observed in the presence of 7-NI.

When the osmotic stimulation was performed in the presence of the V1a antagonist V2255 (1 μM), pronounced vasodilatory responses were still observed \( (Δ35 ± 8%, \ n = 7 \); Fig. 7E,F), which were significantly larger than those in control conditions \( (p < 0.05) \). However, the rebound constrictions observed during the washout period were absent, observing indeed a persistent dilation during this period \( (Δ23 ± 8%) \) from baseline, \( n = 7 \); Fig. 7E,F; see Fig. 9A), which on average did not return to baseline, at least within the recovery time period of our recordings. Consistent with viable arterioles, all vessels dilated to low pH (6.8) aCSF \( (Δ24 ± 6%, \ n = 7) \).

VP-mediated vasconstriction is independent of functional astrocytes

In the cortex (Takano et al., 2006; Iadecola and Nedergaard, 2007), astrocytes are key intermediaries in NVC-mediated arteriole vasodilation. However, astrocytic stimulation has also been linked to arteriole vasconstriction (Mulligan and MacVicar, 2004; Metea and Newman, 2006). Because astrocytes express VP receptors (Hatton et al., 1992; Zhao and Brinton, 2003), and VP was recently shown to stimulate astrocyte activity (Haam et al., 2014), we determined their contribution to VP- and hyperosmotic-mediated vascular responses. Experiments were performed in the presence of the gliotoxin DL-α-aminoadipic acid (l-AAA), previously shown to impair astrocyte function (Huck et al., 1984). After achieving steady-state vascular tone in response to U46619 (150 nM), slices were also perfused with aCSF containing l-AAA (2 mM) and TTX (0.5 μM) for at least 20 min.

Figure 7. Role of NO and VP in osmotically driven NVC in the SON. A, Representative diameter trace of osmotically induced vasodilation and rebound vasoconstriction in the presence of l-NAME and cPTIO. Samples of VP neuronal activity during the respective time periods are shown below. B, Summary data of changes in diameter (%) in response to osmotic stimulation in the presence of l-NAME and cPTIO. C, Representative diameter trace to an osmotic stimulus in the presence of the nNOS blocker 7-NI. D, Summary data of osmotic stimulation-evoked diameter changes in the presence of 7-NI. E, Representative diameter trace of osmotically induced vasodilation in the presence of the V1aR blocker V2255. F, Summary data of changes in diameter (%) in response to hyperosmotic stimulation in the presence of V2255. Arteriole viability was assessed by its vasodilatory response to low pH (6.8) aCSF. Data are mean ± SEM. Values were compared using repeated-measures one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test; paired t test was used for pH data comparison. **p < 0.01. ***p < 0.001.
before exposing arterioles to bath-applied VP (1 μM). TTX was added to prevent possible changes in neuronal activity subsequent to inhibition of astrocyte function. As shown in Figure 8A, B, the presence of TTX and l-AAA alone significantly reduced steady-state vascular tone by Δ14 ± 5% (n = 7). Nonetheless, VP-induced vasoconstrictions (Δ = 36 ± 6%, n = 7) persisted. Indicative of viable arterioles in this condition, acidosis, pH 6.8, still induced a prominent vasodilation (Δ21 ± 6%, n = 7; Figure 8A,B). As with TTX and l-AAA, the presence of l-AAA alone also significantly reduced vascular tone by Δ13 ± 3% (Figure 8C,D). Moreover, consistent with an astrocyte-independent mechanism, SON arterioles continued to dilate to hyperosmotic stimulation (Δ22 ± 4%, n = 7). Together, these data suggest that, although astrocytes in the SON may contribute to the modulation of steady-state vascular tone, they are not key intermediaries in VP-mediated vasodilatation of SON arterioles or the NVC response to hyperosmotic stimulation.

Given the complexity of the responses observed (i.e., variable magnitudes and onset times depending on the presence of pharmacological blockers during and after hyperosmotic stimulation), and for better comparison, we graphed peak vascular responses (% change in diameter from baseline, Δ diameter (%)) during the stimulus time and 4 min from the onset of the washout time (Fig. 9A). During the osmotic stimulation period, a clear vasodilatory response was observed (Δ19 ± 2%, U46619), which was blunted in the presence of NOS blockade (Δ9 ± 2%, L-NAME + cPTIO) as well as the nNOS blocker 7-NI (Δ11 ± 5%). Consistent with the notion that vascular responses occur as a result of increased neuronal activity, diameter changes were significantly blocked by TTX (Δ2 ± 3%). Moreover, vasodilatory responses were significantly enhanced in the presence of V1a receptor blocker (Δ35 ± 8%, U46619 + V2255) compared with the U46619 group alone. During the washout period, Δ diameter in the L-NAME + cPTIO group was significantly more constricted compared with the U46619 group (Δ5 ± 3% vs Δ–10 ± 4%). Interestingly, in the presence of 7-NI, the constriction observed during the washout period was absent, suggesting the potential contribution of NO from an eNOS source as well. In the presence of the V1a receptor blocker, dilations were significantly greater during the hyperosmotic stimulus and arterioles remained significantly more dilated during the washout period (Δ23 ± 8% vs Δ5 ± 3%; Fig. 9A). Finally, the presence of the gliotoxin l-AAA did not alter the vascular response. In summary, these results support prominent NO-dependent vasodilatory responses to osmotically driven neuronal activity and a delayed VP-mediated vasoconstriction, which helps in the recovery of vascular tone as the absence of VP signaling prevented arterioles from recovery their diameter.

**Discussion**

In general, increased neuronal activity dilates cerebral arterioles. This process, known as NVC, ensures matching of neuronal metabolic demands with oxygen and nutrient supply. A component of the classical NVC model involves axonally released glutamate activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors in astrocytes and subsequent formation and release of vasodilatory signals (Attwell et al., 2010). However, whether this generalized NVC model is applicable to neuronal networks in which distinct and less conventional signaling transmitters are at play is unknown. To address this issue, we used the SON MNS as a working model, in which slow-acting and far-diffusing neurotransmitters, including dendritically released neuromolecules and gaseous molecules, constitute key signals regulating neuronal/network activity (Ludwig and Leng, 2006). Here, not excluding the contribution of glutamate-mediated NVC, we unveiled a novel modality of NVC mediated by the competing action of opposing vasoactive signals whose balance and vascular effects are dependent on the stimulus conditions by which either a single or population of neurons are activated, namely, dendritically released VP and the gas molecule NO (Fig. 9B).

**Activity-dependent dendritic release of VP mediates local arteriole vasoconstriction**

The MNS along with nigral dopaminergic neurons stand as the best characterized systems for dendritic release of neurotransmitters in the CNS (Jaffe et al., 1998; Chen and Rice, 2001; Bergquist and Ludwig, 2008). Activity-dependent dendritic release of neuropeptides from MNs is a calcium-dependent exocytotic event regulated independently from axonal release (Ludwig, 1998), constituting a polymodal functional role within the MNS. Acting as an autocrine population signal, it enables neurons to autoregulate their own firing activity, optimizing neurohypophysial hormone release during physiological challenges, including increases in plasma osmolarity or lactation (Ludwig and Leng, 1997; 2006). Moreover and as recently shown, slow-diffusing dendritically released VP acts as an interpopulation signal recruiting distant presynaptic neurons coordinating polymodal homeostatic responses to an osmotic challenge (Son et al., 2013).
Supporting a vasoconstrictor role for dendritically released VP under near physiological vascular conditions, following single VP neuronal stimulation, using previously defined protocols to evoke dendritic release (Kombian et al., 1997; Son et al., 2013 Haam et al., 2014), a predominant V1a receptor-mediated vasoconstriction was observed in U46619-preconstricted and pressurized/perfused arterioles with myogenic tone. A dendritic (rather than axonal) VP source is further supported by the topographical segregation between dendritic and axonal terminals characteristic of this system: axons from MNNs run dorsally and laterally, exiting the nucleus with scarce or no collaterals terminating within the SON or PVN (Swanson and Kuypers, 1980; Hatton et al., 1985). Dendrites, conversely, are confined within the nucleus, being mostly oriented ventrally (Armstrong, 1995). These characteristics minimized the possibility that axonal VP release contributed to activity-dependent vascular responses. Our studies suggest that, in addition to regulating local neuronal activity, dendritic VP release also contributes to concomitant adjustments in parenchymal arteriole tone. In agreement, a recent study reported osmotic stimulation-evoked vasoconstriction (in vivo) of extracerebral arterioles around the SON (Alonso et al., 2008).

Indicative of the release of a vasodilator and vasoconstrictor signal with different efficacies, in a few cases, we observed dilation followed by vasoconstriction in response to single VP neuronal stimulation. In support of this idea, blocking VP-mediated vasoconstrictions unmasked an NO-mediated vasodilation. This is consistent with high nNOS expression in VP neurons (Ariévalo et al., 1992) and their ability to release NO in an activity-dependent manner (Pow, 1992; Kadowaki et al., 1994; Gillard et al., 2007). Thus, our results indicate that, although VP neuronal activation results in the corelease of opposing vasoactive signals, at the single VP-neuronal stimulation level, VP-mediated vasoconstriction generally prevailed. A similar competitive action between coreleased opposing vasoactive signals was reported in cortical NO-NPY-expressing GABAergic interneurons (Cauli et al., 2004). Finally, and contrary to our previous observations in the cortex (Blanco et al., 2008), the polarity of the vascular response (dilation vs constriction) to single VP neuronal stimulation was independent of the level of basal tone. Thus, vascular responses following activity-dependent dendritic release of VP stand as a novel and distinct form of NVC in the brain.

Osmotically evoked NVC responses
Activity-dependent vasoconstrictions following single VP neuronal stimulation were puzzling, given that increased neuronal activity is typically associated with increased CBF (Girouard and Iadecola, 2006). It is important to consider, however, that to increase and maintain elevated systemic release of VP (Bourque, 1998) in response to physiological challenges (e.g., osmotic stimulus), the entire VP neuronal population is activated (Gouzénes et al., 1998). Additionally, to axonal systemic release of VP, osmotic stimulation also evokes local dendritic release of VP (Landgraf and Ludwig, 1991; Neumann et al., 1993), as well as NO (Gillard et al., 2007), with both signals acting in concert to efficiently regulate VP-mediated osmotic responses (Gillard et al., 2007). We found that, differently from single-neuronal stimulation, osmotically driven VP population activity resulted in a predominant and reversible vasodilatation. Responses were abolished when action potentials were blocked with TTX, indicative of an activity-dependent neurovascular response. Our results also in-
dicate that osmotically driven NVC in the SON is complex and, as in the cortex (Attwell et al., 2010), involves multiple signals.

Supporting NO as a key (but not only) vasodilatory signal, the magnitude of the osmotic stimulation-evoked vasodilation was partly blocked by L-NAME and the specific nNOS blocker 7-NI. Moreover, diminishing the magnitude of the vasodilation with L-NAME unmasked a delayed V1a receptor-dependent vasoconstriction; this vasoconstriction was absent in experiments conducted with 7-NI. These data support nNOS-derived NO as the immediate NVC signal and, likely, eNOS-derived NO as an additional/modulatory factor. The nature of additional vasodilatory signal(s) remains to be determined. Finally, in the presence of the V1a blocker, osmotic stimulation evoked a larger and sustained vasodilation, with no tone recovery. Thus, in the context of the V1a blocker, osmotic stimulation evoked a larger and sustained vasodilation; this vasoconstriction was absent in experiments conducted with L-NAME. These data support nNOS-derived NO as the immediate NVC signal and, likely, eNOS-derived NO as an additional/modulatory factor. The nature of additional vasodilatory signal(s) remains to be determined. Finally, in the presence of the V1a blocker, osmotic stimulation evoked a larger and sustained vasodilation, with no tone recovery. Thus, in the context of an osmotic stimulus, dendritic VP release may act to limit the magnitude of the evoked vasodilation while ensuring rapid baseline tone recovery. Along with previous studies showing that during an acute or chronic osmotic stimulation dendritic release of VP contributes to autoregulation of VP firing activity (Ludwig, 1998), recruitment of neighboring presympathetic neurons (Son et al., 2013), modulation of systemic release of VP (Gouzénes et al., 1998), and angiogenesis (Alonso et al., 2008), our studies support a pivotal role for activity-dependent dendritic VP release in orchestrating optimal vascular responses to an osmotic challenge.

Differences in the balance between activity-dependent VP and NO release may explain vascular responses observed between single (VP-mediated vasoconstriction) versus population (osmotic) (NO-mediated vasodilation) neuronal stimulation. Alternatively, given that an osmotic stimulus also activates nNOS-expressing OT neurons (Neumann et al., 1993; Stern and Zhang, 2005) and eNOS-expressing cells (Stern and Zhang, 2005; Yuan et al., 2010), it is likely that enhanced NO release/availability originating from multiple sources, in addition to other vasodilatory signals, override VP-mediated vasoconstriction. Of note, OT stimulation alone was not sufficient to evoke a vascular response. Importantly, consistencies were observed with the timing of the evoked vascular response with either single or osmotically driven stimulations; vasoconstrictions occurred with a delay following the stimulation and, when present, vasodilations preceded/overrode VP-mediated vasoconstrictions.

In the cortex and hippocampus, increased astrocytic Ca$^{2+}$ mobilizes arachidonic acid metabolism, leading to the formation of vasoactive signals, which contribute to NVC. (Attwell et al., 2010). VP has been shown to increase Ca$^{2+}$ in astrocytes (Zhao and Brinton, 2003). However, in our study, the presence of the gliotoxin 1-AAA, which leads to the intracellular depletion of glutathione (Kato et al., 1993; Pow, 2001) and inhibition of glial-specific enzymes (Chang et al., 1997), did not compromise VP-mediated vascular responses. 1-AAA significantly reduced baseline vascular tone, yet VP evoked prominent vasoconstrictions. The notion that, in response to intense neuronal stimulation such as during lactation, dehydration, and parturition (Perlmutter et al., 1984, 1985; Chapman et al., 1986; Theodosius et al., 1986), glia processes retract, favors the participation of astrocytes in the modulation, rather than as key intermediaries, of the SON NVC response.

Our studies show that activity-dependent NVC in the MNS involves signals and mechanisms substantially different from those previously described (Attwell et al., 2010), highlighting dendritic release of peptides as a novel mechanism by which neurons locally influence vascular tone and CBF. Moreover, they further support multiple forms of NVC signaling modalities in the brain (Hamel, 2006), which appear to be determined in part by the variety of neurochemical signals released by activated neurons, as well as the particular stimulus mediating neuronal activation. Importantly, the observation that efficient SON NVC responses result from the balanced action of opposing activity-dependent vasoactive signals (VP-NO) has important pathophysiological implications. In heart failure, for example, exacerbated neuronal activity in the SON/PVN contributes to neurohumoral activation (Patel et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2002). Moreover, a profound decrease in nNOS and NO availability as well as increased VP levels have been reported in the SON/PVN during heart failure (Wang et al., 2005; Sivukhina et al., 2010; Sharma et al., 2011). Thus, imbalanced VP/NO levels during heart failure may compromise NVC responses, resulting in a mismatch in the neuronal metabolic demand-supply equilibrium. Future studies are needed to address the extent to which this imbalance contributes to alter SON neuronal activity and NVC in heart failure.
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