Environmental changes that perturb an animal’s homeostasis — including stress, nutritional challenges and infection — can influence the developing and maturing brain and thereby alter the original blueprint and establish a new one in a process known as reprogramming1. There is a great history of studies that have examined this process, and it has recently become clear that epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation, histone modification and the actions of small non-coding RNAs, have an important role in such neurodevelopmental reprogramming2–5. However, the precise mechanisms by which exposure to environmental perturbations can influence long-term outcomes are highly complex and depend on the type and the severity of the exposure, whether it occurs during a window of vulnerability in the target circuit or system, the organismal sex and whether there are epigenetic changes in germ cells (FIG. 1).

The timing of an environmental exposure or experience may determine whether the event has limited and specific epigenetic effects or whether it more broadly affects the epigenome (BOX 1) as well as how long the effect persists (acutely or across generations). As epigenetic processes are integrally involved in neurodevelopment and maturation, insults that are experienced during these key periods (which roughly correspond to early or late gestation) would be predicted to reprogram the epigenome more extensively and, if also incorporated into the germ cells, their effects may become transgenerational1. By contrast, events that occur outside a sensitive period of brain development (that is, as an adult) may be more limited in their effect and duration, as they may produce specific epigenetic changes that do not manifest in epigenomic or germ cell reprogramming.

According to the Barker hypothesis6, neurodevelopmental reprogramming induces adaptations to the early environment in anticipation of a similar postnatal environment. When there is a mismatch between these two environments, such reprogramming may increase disease risk. For example, if a mother is exposed to increased stress during pregnancy but the postpartum environment is not stressful, the offspring’s brain may continue to respond inappropriately to stressors that are experienced throughout life6.

Recent reviews in this area have focused on the specific developmental periods (including prenatal, postnatal and pubertal periods) during which such reprogramming occurs, the types of stimuli that are involved (including specific diets and exposure to infection or to stress) and specific epigenetic outcomes2–5,7–9. The goal of this Review is to integrate these points and highlight potential shared mechanisms that are important in reprogramming the developing brain. I examine results from human and animal studies that shed light on the factors that direct and shape brain development, and I discuss how differing parental contributions may affect offspring disease risk and resilience. In particular, I examine transgenerational epigenetic effects on neurodevelopment and discuss the interactions between specific perturbations, developmental windows of susceptibility and the sex-specificity of these effects.
The importance of the prenatal period. The best evidence that the timing of insults is a factor in disease risk comes from birth cohort studies examining the effects of maternal (prepartum) exposure to specific stress or immune challenges. These studies compile birth registries that enable prospective studies to be carried out and thus may have access to more accurate records of the exposure under investigation than do retrospective studies. They also allow the collection of plasma and tissue samples, which enables potential biomarkers and genetic and/or epigenetic factors associated with susceptibility to be assessed. For example, birth cohort studies have revealed strong associations between in utero exposure to infection, hypoxia or starvation and an increased risk of schizophrenia\textsuperscript{22,23}, as well as an association with impairments in executive function in patients with schizophrenia\textsuperscript{35}, which indicates that prenatal brain development, not surprisingly, is a uniquely susceptible period. Furthermore, recent studies showed marked changes in cortical layer patterning, which is a prenatal process, in children with ASD, suggesting that a disturbance occurred before birth\textsuperscript{36}. However, in-depth neurodevelopmental assessments that compare similar perturbations across these prenatal and postnatal periods will be necessary to identify a specific period of development as a crucial factor in disease risk.

There is growing evidence that there are specific developmental windows of increased vulnerability throughout pregnancy itself\textsuperscript{39-42}. Indeed, a recent epidemiological study reported an association between maternal stress that is experienced specifically during the first trimester of pregnancy and an increased risk of schizophrenia in male offspring\textsuperscript{29}. Exposure to maternal infection and high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines during early or mid-gestation was also associated with an increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorders\textsuperscript{44}. For example, children of women who were exposed to influenza during early or mid-pregnancy, but not during late gestation, had a threefold higher risk of schizophrenia than those of women who had not been exposed\textsuperscript{45}. Similarly, studies using the Danish medical birth register reported that maternal viral infection resulting in hospitalization in the first trimester, which is a prenatal process, in children with ASD, suggest an uniquely susceptible period. Furthermore, recent studies broadly suggest that early pregnancy is a particularly sensitive period in development, during which time insults such as stress and inflammation can promote programming changes that will increase the risk of disease. This increased vulnerability may lie in the sensitivity of the brain, the reactivity of the mother in early gestation and/or the ability of the fetus to recover from such insults.

In addition to stress or infection during pregnancy, reduced maternal nutrition can also have a negative influence on fetal growth and can induce epigenetic changes, thereby influencing fetal brain development. Long-term epidemiological studies investigating famine exposures during specified periods of pregnancy or postnatal life are beginning to discern associated risks for neuropsychiatric disease in first- and second-generation...
Box 1 | Defining epigenetics and epigenomics

The definition of the term ‘epigenetic’ has been subject to continuous evolution. Waddington coined the term to describe the premise that genes can respond to a dynamic environment and thereby shape phenotypes such that they are acutely advantageous to the individual (as opposed to advantageous on a much longer evolutionary scale). A more recent operational definition narrowed the usage to include the necessity of heritability, either across generations or to daughter cells, which involves the erasure and subsequent replacement of epigenetic marks. However, over the past decade, developmental and behavioural neuroscientists have used the term ‘epigenetic modifications’ to refer to functionally relevant chromatin modifications that alter gene expression but that do not involve a change in DNA sequence. Recent studies have produced mechanistic insight both at the level of epigenetics, which examines changes in the expression of specific genes or loci that result from the addition of epigenetic marks, such as DNA methylation, or from changes in the levels of a given microRNA, and at the level of epigenomics, which examines genome-wide changes in gene expression that can be attributed to broad chromatin modifications. Such outcomes, which might be directed by a given histone modification (such as histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) acetylation) or by changes in the methylome, can influence large sets of genes that are involved in specific functions and that generate changes in developmental programming in response to an insult or a perturbation.

Executive function

A set of cognitive abilities that include inhibition (resisting habits, temptations or distractions), switching (adjusting to change) and working memory (mentally holding and using information).

Stress responsiveness

Behavioural or physiological measures in response to a stress provocation. In all mammals, the physiological hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal stress axis is the standard measure of stress experience. Behavioural changes in response to stress or threat can also be examined as an indication of stress state.

Imprinted gene

A gene expressed from only one allele in a manner that depends on the parent of origin and that is regulated by DNA methylation.

Hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal stress axis

(HPA stress axis). The neuroendocrine core of the stress system. Its activation results in the release of corticotropin-releasing factor from the hypothalamus, adrenocorticotropic hormone from the pituitary and cortisol (corticosterone in rats and mice) from the adrenal glands.

Mechanisms of reprogramming

Animal models have been used in an attempt to mimic the epidemiological findings described above by examining offspring outcomes that result from specific types of insults during specific periods of development. These studies have provided an insight into the mechanisms that are involved in reprogramming the brain. Rodent brain development begins during gestation but mostly continues postnatally. Therefore, using these animal models, researchers have been able to examine offspring phenotypes that are relevant to neurodevelopmental disorders by studying maternal exposure to stress, dietary challenges and infection both during pregnancy as well as in the early postnatal period.

Prenatal maternal stress

Studies in which the pregnant dam is exposed to perturbations that provoke activation of her neuroendocrine stress system have shown that the effect of maternal stress during pregnancy on offspring outcomes, including on stress sensitivity, reward and cognition, depends on the gestational timing of the exposure (as well as on the sex of the fetus). In many species, including mice, rats, guinea pigs and non-human primates, maternal stress increases offspring stress sensitivity such that the overall production of glucocorticoids following hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal stress axis (HPA stress axis) activation is increased, behavioural stress responses are heightened and cognitive deficits are present, all of which are endophenotypes that are associated with neuropsychiatric disease.

To compare the effects of maternal stress experience across early, mid- or late pregnancy on the programming of offspring stress outcomes, studies in
mice and guinea pigs have used a chronic stress paradigm. In mice, exposure to stress very early in pregnancy (embryonic days 1–7) resulted in male, but not female, offspring that showed increased immobility in both tail suspension and forced swim tests as well as cognitive deficits in spatial learning and memory tasks as adults. Early stress exposure also affected the programming of stress neurocircuitry: male offspring showed increased corticosterone production in response to acute restraint stress, and this was associated with increased levels of corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) in the amygdala and reduced hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor expression. These changes were associated with corresponding alterations in promoter methylation, which suggests that the prenatal stress experience resulted in long-term epigenetic reprogramming of genes involved in the development of stress neurocircuitry. The timing specificity of the effects of prenatal stress was similarly explored using short periods of daily flashing light as a maternal stressor in guinea pigs at mid- or late gestation. Adult offspring that were exposed to stress in mid-gestation showed increased anxiety-like behaviours, increased basal corticosterone levels and lower hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor expression; however, late-gestation stress did not produce these outcomes. This evidence for specific effects of maternal stress during mid-gestation complements the evidence arising from the epidemiological studies described above.

Interestingly, in many studies, male offspring are the most severely affected sex. They often present with a ‘dysmasculinized’ phenotype; that is, the prenatally stressed males seem to be more similar to control females than to control males in terms of their behaviours, physiology and gene expression patterns. For instance, male mice exposed to maternal stress very early in gestation showed significant changes in spatial learning and memory performance in a Barnes maze and used ‘female-like’ strategies to solve the task. In addition, these mice showed dysmasculinized patterns of stress physiology, behaviour and cognitive performance, which indicates a potential disruption in normal perinatal brain masculinization. Similar dysmasculinizing results have been reported in prenatally stressed rats and guinea pigs. Interestingly, several recent studies have reported an association between thyroid hormone levels in fetuses and autism or autistic-like traits in young children, and low serum testosterone levels have been associated with certain schizophrenia symptoms such as reduced verbal and working memory in males. Fetal testosterone levels also predict the area of the sexually dimorphic grey matter in the human brain, and male patients with schizophrenia have orbitofrontal cortex-to-amygdala volume ratios that are more similar to those of control women than to those of control men. These findings support the idea that brain masculinization is an important part of normal brain development in males and suggest that this process may be a point of vulnerability to perturbations in the environment.

### Postnatal maternal stress

Complex interactions between the neonate and its mother occur in the postnatal period, and maternal behaviours such as nursing and grooming can strongly influence neurodevelopment. Rodent studies have shown that both enhanced and fragmented maternal care in the early postnatal period can result in marked differences in the behaviours of the adult offspring. It is well established that the quality of maternal care influences epigenetic processes in the developing brain. This was first shown in a rat model in which dams showed consistent high or low levels of maternal care — identified as increased or decreased licking and grooming — and this has since been demonstrated in many additional species, including mice, hamsters and non-human primates. These studies have shown that the mother’s interactions with her offspring predict their behavioural and hormonal responses to stress in adulthood. Specifically, in rats, high rates of licking and grooming by the mother were associated with more rapid negative feedback to the HPA stress axis as a result of enhanced glucocorticoid receptor sensitivity as well as reduced levels of anxiety-like behaviours. At an epigenetic level, these outcomes were correlated with changes in DNA methylation of the glucocorticoid receptor promoter and in glucocorticoid receptor expression in the hippocampus. Although other genes are probably also affected, the glucocorticoid receptor gene, *Nr3c1*, seems to have been the most consistently examined across models and studies. *NR3C1* expression and promoter methylation has also been examined in humans following early-life trauma, showing a potentially translational outcome for an epigenetic finding from animal models. However, to adequately address the question of how maternal stress during pregnancy ultimately reprograms the developing brain, it is necessary to consider the epigenome and the broader epigenetic mechanisms that would be capable of establishing changes that are marked enough to alter organismal behaviour decades later.

Chronic stress during the postnatal period — affecting the dam and/or the pups — can also affect the cognitive performance of adult offspring through functional and structural changes in specific brain regions, including the hippocampus and the hypothalamus. For example, in a rat model of altered early postpartum maternal care resulting from the stress of repeated maternal separation, the offspring showed lifelong epigenetic changes in the hippocampus. Specifically, the ability of a transcriptional repressor to bind to *Crf* was decreased because of increased DNA methylation, and this was associated with a reduced ability of the offspring to appropriately respond to and to cope during stressful experiences as adults. This shows an interesting epigenetic reprogramming effect in response to postnatal stress that opposes changes that have been observed following prenatal stress: that is, the *Crf* promoter was hypomethylated following postnatal stress. This again highlights the importance of the timing of environmental exposures in producing their long-term consequences. Interestingly, similar long-term changes in the expression of CRF in the hypothalamus were also found in offspring in a model of fragmented...
maternal care, in which the rat dam is given limited and insufficient material with which to build her nest, suggesting that alterations in maternal behaviour during early postnatal life can drive similar epigenetic events in her offspring\(^6\).\(^{70}\).

**Maternal nutrition and obesity.** Rodent studies have shown a link between prenatal availability of nutrients and offspring brain development\(^{83}\). In mice, females receiving a protein-deficient diet throughout pregnancy and lactation produced offspring with intrauterine growth restriction and marked changes in dopamine circuitry and reward-related behaviours as adults\(^6\). Interestingly, the dopamine reward neurocircuitry was also affected when pregnant dams were fed a high-fat diet throughout pregnancy and lactation, which suggests that the developing reward system is particularly sensitive to gestational nutrition (probably stemming from an evolutionary benefit of linking motivational behaviours with caloric need)\(^{87–92}\). Reduced reward sensitivity (anhedonia) is a symptom of depression in humans; thus, there may be a connection between prenatal dietary perturbations and an increased risk of affective disorders or addictions. As described above, maternal undernutrition also increases stress reactivity in pregnant females and thus contributes to offspring phenotypes that are similar to those produced by maternal stress\(^9\). For example, rats that were exposed to 50% food restriction during pregnancy had increased plasma corticosterone levels and their adult offspring had higher adrenal weights\(^9\). These maternally food-restricted offspring also showed changes in the expression of key stress genes, including reduced levels of hippocampal \(N_{r3c1}\) expression. Furthermore, exposure of female guinea pigs to nutrient restriction for 2 days in the last third of pregnancy increased maternal and fetal cortisol levels and reduced the expression of glucocorticoid receptor in the offspring hypothalamus and hippocampus in adulthood\(^9\). These outcomes are similar to those reported in studies investigating the effects of prenatal stress and maternal dexamethasone treatment\(^{1\text{,}2,22,42,55,56,94,96–99}\), which suggests that common signals are produced in the maternal milieu during maternal stress and undernutrition. These common signals are probably related to the similar catabolic metabolism that occurs during these experiences\(^9\).

Maternal dietary challenges also affect the maternal and fetal immune systems. For instance, increases in the number of hypothalamic microglia and pro-inflammatory cytokine levels were found in fetuses of macaque mothers who received a high-fat diet before and during pregnancy\(^9\). As juveniles, the female, but not the male, offspring showed increased anxiety-like behaviours\(^{100}\). Similarly, increased numbers of activated microglia in the hippocampus were reported for the adult offspring of rats dams fed a diet high in saturated fat throughout pregnancy and lactation and these animals also showed increased anxiety-like behaviours and deficits in spatial learning\(^{101}\). Together, these studies indicate that there are sex-specific interactions between the maternal diet during early life and long-term neuroimmune programming\(^{100,101}\).

The placenta may function as an important intermediary between an unhealthy maternal milieu and fetal markers of neuroinflammation by producing its own pro-inflammatory cytokines. Placentas from pregnant macaques receiving a high-fat diet throughout pregnancy showed increased levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokines tumour necrosis factor (TNF) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), and of Toll-like receptor 4 [REF 102]. Surprisingly, in pregnant rats with restricted access to low-protein food, levels of TNF and IL-6 were also increased in placental tissue\(^{103}\). Timing also seems to be important for the interaction between the immune system and the placenta, as maternal immune activation during early gestation in mice can result in implantation failure\(^{104,105}\) and mid-gestation inflammation in the placenta has been linked to schizophrenia-like and ASD-like phenotypes\(^{106}\).

**Maternal infection and immune activation.** Animal studies examining the effects of maternal infection during pregnancy have reported many behavioural outcomes in the adult offspring that are similar to those detected in offspring exposed to prenatal stress, including increased stress reactivity and impaired cognitive performance\(^{11}\).\(^{12}\),\(^{13}\),\(^{14}\),\(^{15}\). This suggests that the two manipulations may affect the fetus and the developing brain through common mediators, including glucocorticoids and/or pro-inflammatory cytokines\(^{96,108–112}\). In fact, inhibition of inflammatory processes in a mouse model of maternal chronic stress ameliorated the effects of stress on the offspring\(^{106}\). The pro-inflammatory cytokines produced by the mother and/or in the placenta during maternal infection or chronic stress can elicit their long-term effects on fetal somatic cells through interactions with epigenetic machinery. For example, IL-6 increases the expression and the activity of DNA (cytosine 5)-methyltransferase 1 by regulating its gene promoter\(^{111}\). In another example, in a mouse model of early pregnancy stress, changes in the expression of the chromatin-remodelling enzyme O-GlcNac transferase (OGT) were detected in male but not in female placental tissue and were found to regulate a broad pattern of gene expression that is associated with the active histone mark H3K4me3 (histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation)\(^{114,115}\).

**Transgenerational programming**

Although some environmental factors only ‘programme’ the individual that is directly exposed to them, others are transmitted across one or more generations\(^{116}\). The transmission of epigenetic marks to future generations through germ cell reprogramming adds complexity to our attempts to establish causal links between environmental risk factors and disease. True transgenerational reprogramming requires an epigenetic mark to be erased and then re-established in the germ cell in the absence of re-exposure or continuation of the exposure\(^{113}\) [FIG. 2]. Tight control of epigenetic regulation is crucial during the early phase of gestation, which is characterized by a wave of genome demethylation followed by de novo remethylation, establishment of imprints and sex determination, and is therefore particularly sensitive to
Figure 2 | Modes of maternal and paternal transgenerational epigenetic transmission. Several mechanisms for transgenerational epigenetic transmission are possible, as shown in the table, in which a red mouse indicates that the phenotype is shown in a particular generation. a | In utero somatic programming may affect tissue and brain development in the fetus, initiating a developmental trajectory that results in an adult phenotype in the first (F1) generation. However, this phenotype is not transmitted to the next generation, as there is no germ cell involvement. b | If in utero somatic programming is accompanied by changes in primordial germ cells, the phenotype will be transmitted to a second (F2) generation. In order for this to occur, germ cells must be exposed to a maternal insult during gestation. c | If the in utero exposure is able to alter epigenetic marks in the germ-line of the F1 offspring and this reprogramming is able to withstand erasure and re-establishment during subsequent generations’ germ cell development, the phenotype will be perpetuated across generations without the need for re-exposure to the original insult. This is defined as a truly transgenerational epigenetic programming. d | Transgenerational phenotypes may also occur through a repeated maternal effect by which programming during gestation or through exposure to altered maternal behaviours results in an adult phenotype that is only found in the maternal lineage. In order for this to occur, the offspring must be re-exposed to the insult in each generation to promote the continuation of the phenotype from the dam. This trait would not be passed through a paternal lineage. e | Transgenerational programming through a paternal lineage originating from an initial exposure in the male requires establishment or reprogramming of epigenetic marks in the sperm. Adapted with permission from REF. 115, Elsevier.

maternal influences that could result in embryonic and germ cell reprogramming40. The different ways in which germ cell epigenetic marks are transmitted and inherited can also be examined; these include whether the mother and/or the father pass on the trait and whether male or female offspring (or both) inherit it. Epidemiological data from Swedish famine periods suggest that there may be specific developmental windows during which germ cells are more vulnerable to this type of environmental influence116; boys exposed to famine before puberty were more likely to have children and grandchildren with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes than males exposed outside this period117. However, studies have also shown that the type of perturbation or exposure may be an important determinant in how or if the germ cells are affected118.

Animal models have been used to examine the transgenerational outcomes of germ cell reprogramming that are induced by maternal and/or paternal exposure to an environmental factor. For example, male mice that are exposed to stress in utero (that is, their mothers are stressed during pregnancy), which results in increased stress sensitivity and an increased corticosterone response to acute restraint stress as adults, pass on this phenotype to their male, but not their female, offspring120. Similarly, when mice were exposed to early postnatal stress (chronic and unpredictable maternal separation from postnatal days 1 to 14), their stress-sensitive behavioural phenotype in adulthood continued through to the third generation120. Changes were detected in DNA methylation in the germ line of this third generation, which suggests that germ cell epigenetic marks can be maintained across generations without re-exposure to the insult120. These studies support the idea that the germ line can be manipulated, and they show the potential ability of external influences to increase susceptibility or resilience to disease in subsequent generations. It is important to note that an environmental signal that can influence the germ line does not necessarily produce a notable phenotype in the first generation if there is no effect on somatic tissues (including the brain). Whether such an effect occurs probably depends on the timing, the type or the severity of the exposure111. However, in such a scenario, if the germ cell were reprogrammed this would give rise to a phenotype in the second generation; thus, phenotypes can skip a generation, making it more difficult to form clear risk analyses for pregnant women or for other at-risk groups (FIG. 3). As this premise has not yet been mechanistically examined, the specific signals or insults remain to be determined.

Maternal transmission. Studies in which female mice were exposed to nutritional challenges have provided some of the clearest examples of how phenotypes can be lost or retained across generations112–126. These studies have monitored body length, adiposity, glucose regulation, metabolic rate and behaviour through at least three generations and have reported epigenetic changes, including effects on imprinted genes. Maternal obesity or exposure to a high-fat diet during pregnancy is associated with reduced insulin and glucose sensitivity and increased body size and adiposity in the first-generation offspring of humans, non-human primates and rodents122,127–131. However, not all of these traits will arise in the second generation, which supports the idea that many phenotypes are the result of direct somatic cell programming and not of altered epigenetic marks in the germ cell. For example, one study in mice found that an increased offspring body size was the only phenotype of many from the first generation that was retained into the second and the third generations following the initial exposure to a maternal high-fat diet during pregnancy123. A closer examination of the transmission pattern of this phenotype revealed that only second-generation fathers could pass on the trait and only to their third-generation daughters, indicating an epigenetic mechanism (as male mice were not permitted any interaction with the litter, any passage of traits to their offspring must have been programmed in their germ cells) involving a paternally expressed gene (as only the second-generation fathers were able to pass on the trait) that may be on the
Although transgenerational changes in long-term health outcomes in the first generation (F1). Furthermore, placenta. The resulting maternal programming of fetal somatic tissues can lead to Maternal stress or dietary insults can generate signals, in the form of changes in the conditioning.

Figure 3 | Programming of phenotypes and disease risk can skip generations. Maternal stress or dietary insults can generate signals, in the form of changes in the hormonal milieu, that are transmitted from the maternal to the fetal compartment via the placenta. The resulting maternal programming of fetal somatic tissues can lead to changes in long-term health outcomes in the first generation (F1). Furthermore, in utero exposure can also occur for the primordial germ cells that will give rise to the second generation (F2), as these germ cells are also present and undergo reprogramming during embryonic development. If the exposure does not produce a detectable effect on somatic tissues but is still able to reprogramme epigenetic marks in the germ cell, a phenotype could effectively arise in the F2 generation that was not present in the F1 generation, thus having the appearance of ‘skipping a generation’. Adapted with permission from REF. 115, Elsevier.

X chromosome (as only their daughters inherited the trait)133. Indeed, the expression pattern of imprinted genes from these females supported this hypothesis; paternally expressed genes showed increased overall expression in the livers of third-generation female offspring born to the high-fat diet lineage second-generation males but not in those born to the females.

Paternal transmission. Although transgenerational effects of various changes in the maternal environment are well characterized, fewer studies have examined possible paternal modes of transmission. In such studies, male mice or rats exposed to social defeat, chronic stress, cocaine, a high-fat diet, endocrine disrupters or olfactory cues before breeding have been examined132–139. These models provide unique opportunities to examine mechanisms of transgenerational transmission. In a research laboratory environment, male rodents do not normally participate in the rearing of their offspring and thus have no postpartum behavioural influence on their development. Therefore, the ability of the sire to transmit a trait to his offspring is limited to the genetic and epigenetic material in his sperm, which is an accessible and plentiful tissue source for epigenetic analyses. Therefore, paternal models provide a more transparent method by which to study mechanisms of epigenetic transmission than models of maternal transmission, which are complicated by the potentially confounding effects of maternal behaviours, parturition and lactation. As stated above, the term ‘transgenerational’ requires that an epigenetic mark giving rise to a phenotype is found in the germ cell and is passed on to the next generation in the absence of re-exposure. Therefore, to be considered a transgenerational epigenetic mechanism in a male model of transmission, a phenotype need be detected only into the second generation. Perturbations that occur during pregnancy, such as maternal stress or infection, must affect the third generation for their influence to be truly transgenerational, because the gestating first-generation offspring and the germ cells that will give rise to the second-generation offspring are simultaneously exposed to the initial perturbation135 (FIG. 2).

Epigenetic marks normally found in sperm include changes in the expression of non-coding RNAs (micro-RNAs (miRNAs) and PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs; which are important in silencing retrotransposons)), histone modifications (for the few retained histones in sperm) and DNA methylation136. Perturbations such as stress can alter these marks, resulting in offspring with detectable phenotypes. For instance, when male mice were exposed to chronic social defeat or chronic variable stress before breeding, their offspring showed behavioural and physiological phenotypes of stress dysregulation, including altered HPA axis responses to stress132,134. Furthermore, one study showed that, in sperm from fathers who were chronically stressed either during puberty or as adults, the levels of a subset of specific miRNAs were increased134. Interestingly, rewarding or reinforcing environmental factors, such as drugs of abuse, pleasant odours or high-fat diets, can also produce germline epigenetic marks that are transmitted to the offspring. For example, male rats exposed to a specific odour paired with a shock passed this conditioned experience to their offspring through an epigenetic germ cell mechanism133. Similarly, male offspring of male rats that were exposed to chronic cocaine self-administration showed reward neurocircuitry dysregulation135. This phenotype was associated with a change in histone H3 acetylation at the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (Bdnf) promoter in the sperm of the sires that were initially exposed, and the same change was also detected in the brains of their male offspring. In another study, female but not male offspring of male rats that were exposed to a high-fat diet for 10 weeks had reduced glucose sensitivity and β-cell dysfunction136. The mechanism by which paternal life experiences such as stress, diets or drugs can reprogramme epigenetic marks in the germ cell are not currently understood; however, normal processes and development across spermatogenesis and the stages at which epigenetic or transcriptional machinery is present in the cell must be considered (FIG. 4).
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Figure 4 | **Windows of vulnerability to environmental reprogramming in spermatogenesis.** The figure shows the stages of spermatogenesis and sperm maturation, highlighting the periods in which environmental exposures can reprogramme epigenetic marks. Active transcription and storage of RNA is ongoing through the spermatogonium, spermatocyte and spermatid stages. However, once compaction of paternal DNA has occurred, which involves replacing the majority of histones with protamines, there is not a clear mechanism by which epigenetic marks can be further altered in the mature sperm. This suggests that an environmental exposure must occur within a sensitive time period of spermatogenesis in order to pass on a new or reprogrammed epigenetic mark to future offspring. In most mammals, complete spermatogenesis occurs in 6–8 weeks, by which point sperm are present in the epididymis for final maturation processes. The time between chromatin compaction and the transit of mature sperm to the epididymis is at least 10 days, during which time epigenetic and transcriptional machineries are no longer active. However, the secretion of exosomes and other factors that interact with the maturing sperm in the epididymis may impart lasting epigenetic marks, such as microRNAs. Figure is reproduced from Bale T. Lifetime stress experience: transgenerational epigenetics and germ cell programming. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 2014;16:297–305 (REF. 179), with the permission of @ AICH-Servier Research Group, Suresnes, France, and adapted from REF. 180, Nature Publishing Group.

**Sex specificity in epigenetic mechanisms**

As described above, epigenetic responses to environmental changes can be sex specific at several levels. The perception or the response to the exposure itself can differ between sexes, so that one sex produces a higher or a lower change in hormone levels or greater neural activation, leading to a cascade of differing outcomes. The placenta is an example of a tissue that shows sex-specific effects; for example, maternal stress or dietary challenge during pregnancy produces lasting gene expression changes in the placentas of male fetuses but not in the placentas of female fetuses. This provides a mechanism by which the effects of stress can be transmitted in a sex-specific manner to the developing brain. Epidemiological studies have shown that gender is an important determinant in the severity and the onset of diseases that are associated with certain fetal antecedents. For example, male, but not female, children of women who were in their second trimester of pregnancy during the 1940 invasion of the Netherlands (and therefore who presumably suffered high stress levels at that time) had an increased risk of schizophrenia. A recent report found that maternal depression during pregnancy correlated with increased postnatal anxiety development in children and that males were significantly more likely to be affected. Many neurodevelopmental disorders, such as ASD, have a strong sex bias. Exposure to maternal stress before week 32 of gestation has been suggested to be a contributing factor to ASD. Therefore, studies examining the role of fetal sex in determining the specific outcomes of maternal stress during pregnancy may provide a unique insight into neurodevelopmental disease aetiologies.

There is also evidence that the effects of activation of the maternal immune system on the offspring are sex dependent. For example, male offspring of pregnant rats exposed to lipopolysaccharides late in gestation showed deficits in pre-pulse inhibition in adulthood, whereas female offspring were unaffected. Similarly, male, but not female, mice exposed during late gestation to maternal injection of the viral mimic polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly(I:C)) showed behavioural and cognitive inflexibility in adulthood, resembling some of the negative symptoms of schizophrenia. These effects were also associated with reduced levels of glutamate in the prefrontal cortex in males. Such studies support the widely held view that males are more vulnerable to prenatal insults than females, who are more susceptible to insults that occur in the postnatal environment.

Animal studies have also begun to examine the developmental timing of such sex-specific outcomes more closely. For example, as described above, gestation has been established as a period in which important masculinization of the male brain can be disrupted by maternal stress. Disruptions in the organizational programming of the sexually dimorphic brain may also have a role in the aetiology of neurodevelopmental disorders. For example, structural brain volume analyses showed a reduction of the normal sexual dimorphism of the brain in male patients with schizophrenia. These studies are exciting because they suggest a specific time window in development (probably prenatal) in which an exposure or insult disrupted this normal masculinizing process. As the female brain is not exposed to gonadal hormones during this early developmental

---

**Pre-pulse inhibition**

A reduction in the magnitude of the startle reflex that occurs when an organism is presented with a non-startling stimulus (a pre-pulse) before being presented with the startling stimulus. Deficits in pre-pulse inhibition have been observed in patients with schizophrenia.
period, these findings indicate a sex-specific process that is a point of potential disruption in males. This may ultimately lead to a mismatch for these males later in life, as they would be genetically XY but would not have a fully masculinized brain.

Gonadal hormones and their receptors also interact with epigenetic mechanisms to promote normal brain maturation and development. For instance, oestradiol can affect epigenetic machinery, including DNA methyltransferases and histone deacetylases (HDACs), in the neonate as a part of sexually dimorphic brain development, and can alter DNA methylation patterns. Therefore, alterations in the levels of oestrogen, or its precursor testosterone, that result from perturbations experienced during early life can manifest as long-term reprogramming of brain function. Oestradiol can also alter histone modification through actions on HDACs. A single postnatal administration of an HDAC inhibitor in male mice was shown to disrupt the sexual dimorphic development of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), which is a crucial part of the neurocircuitry involved in regulating stress responses. Small non-coding miRNAs also seem to be responsive to oestradiol levels in the early postnatal mouse brain; more than 250 of the most abundantly expressed miRNAs in the brain significantly differ in their expression between males and females. These sex differences are dependent on the conversion of gonadal testosterone to oestradiol in males, as a single administration of an aromatase inhibitor at birth was able to completely shift the entire male miRNA expression pattern to that of a female.

These studies show that gonadal hormones can, during important periods, promote epigenomic effects and thereby broadly influence neurodevelopment, effectively producing the sexually dimorphic brain. Importantly, these regulatory mechanisms can be sensitive to perturbations in the environment. As described above, maternal stress and infection are both associated with dysmasculinized phenotypes, which suggests that they cause a disruption in male sexual differentiation or gonad development that in turn alters testosterone production or its actions in the brain during this critical neonatal organizational period. Finally, it is also interesting to note that although the Y chromosome contains no miRNA genes, the mammalian X chromosome is highly enriched for them and their density is approximately twofold higher than it is on autosomes in mice and humans. In circumstances in which these genes escape X inactivation, females would have twice the expression level of males, thus producing a sex-specific post-transcriptional mode of regulation that provides females with the select ability to buffer or to respond differently to insults.

**Conclusions and future directions**

It is clear that the outcomes and the long-term sustainability of dynamic epigenetic marks are dependent on factors such as the timing and the type of exposure, the sex of the offspring and, in the case of transgenerational effects, the sex of the parent and the offspring. According to the Barker hypothesis, whether such programming ultimately results in disease risk or resilience mainly depends on the concordance between the expected and actual environments. As discussed above, there are several common signalling mechanisms through which perturbations during early development are likely to function, including inflammation and energy metabolism in the maternal milieu and in the placenta. Studies examining the early postnatal environment have also identified common epigenetic
gene targets in the brain. In addition, recent studies have provided insight into the novel contributions of the gut microbiome to early brain development; thus, metabolic and immune processes may interact to ultimately affect the environment are associated with risk of neurodevelopmental disorders (BOX 3). Future studies will need to use ‘omic’ technologies to better understand the broader reprogramming abilities of the environment on the epigenome. For instance, metabolomic analyses of the maternal and the fetal milieu would be useful in models of maternal stress or infection to determine the metabolic signals that are involved in neurodevelopment. There remain several considerable gaps in our knowledge: what are the physiological and the cellular signals by which experience is able to reprogramme an epigenetic mark (BOX 1), and when and how are the germ cells involved? Identifying these mechanisms in a stepwise manner will facilitate the discovery of better disease predictive biomarkers and will perhaps lead to effective interventions for disease prevention and earlier treatments (BOX 3).

7. In this paper, the thrifty phenotype hypothesis describes how a mismatch between fetal programming and the postnatal environment can result in disease risk.


49. This novel and reproducible model shows how disruptions in maternal care can manifest in reprogramming of offspring stress pathways in the brain.


This important paper was the first to establish epigenetic mechanisms in the developing brain that were altered by the quality of maternal care.
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REVIEWS


This paper laid the foundation for the field of epigenetic programming by defining the ‘epigenetic landscape’.
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